

3.21.

① Let $V = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is a function}\}$. real vector space. Decide if the following subset of V are subspaces.

a) $S = \{f \in V, f(0) = f(1)\}$.

Let's check the properties: $0 \in S$ (0 function, $0(x) = 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0(0) = 0 = 0(1)$). 0 is a constant function, always equal to 0.

• if $f, g \in S \Rightarrow f(0) = f(1)$ and $g(0) = g(1) \Rightarrow f+g \in S$. since $(f+g)(0) = f(0) + g(0) = f(1) + g(1) = (f+g)(1)$.

• if $f \in S, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow f(0) = f(1) \Rightarrow \alpha f(0) = \alpha f(1) \Rightarrow (\alpha f)(0) = (\alpha f)(1) \Rightarrow \alpha f \in S$.

b) $S = \{f \in V, f(3) = 1 + f(-5)\}$.

This is not a vector space. Since $0 \notin S$. $0(3) = 0$ but $1 + 0(-5) = 1 + 0 = 1 \neq 0 = 0(3)$.

S is also not closed by addition.

$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=3 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 3 \end{cases} \Rightarrow f \in S$. ($f(3) = 1 = 1 + f(-5) = 1 + 0 = 1$).

$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } x=3 \end{cases} \Rightarrow g \in S$ ($g(3) = 0 = 1 + g(-5) = 1 + 0 = 1$).

but $f+g \notin S$ since $(f+g)(3) = f(3) + g(3) = 1 + 0 = 1$ but $1 + (f+g)(-5) = 1 + f(-5) + g(-5) = 1 + 0 + 0 = 1 \neq 1$.

c) $S = \{f \in V: f(x) = f(x^2)\}$.

Not a subspace. Let $f(x) = 1 \in S$. ($f(x^2) = 1 = f(x)$). $g(x) = x \in S$ ($g(x^2) = x^2 = g(x)$).

$\Rightarrow (f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) = 1 + x$. $(f+g)(x^2) = f(x^2) + g(x^2) = 1 + x^2 \neq (1+x)^2 = (f+g)(x)^2$. $\Rightarrow$ So $f+g$ is not in S .

② Prove the following:

a) The only subspaces of $\mathbb{R}$ are $\{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}$.

Let S be a subspace of $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow 0 \in S$. We have two cases:

i) 0 is the only element of $S \Rightarrow S = \{0\}$ ✓.

ii) 0 is not the only element of S . Let's prove $S = \mathbb{R}$.

Since $S \neq \{0\}$, $\exists v \in S, v \neq 0$. We want to prove that $\mathbb{R} \subseteq S$. (We always have $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$).

Let $a \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow a = a \cdot 1 = a \cdot (v^{-1} \cdot v) = (av^{-1}) \cdot v$. $av^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. $v \in S$. Since $v \neq 0, \exists v^{-1} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow a \in S$. Since S is a subspace, $\alpha \cdot v \in S, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in S$.

Therefore $\mathbb{R} \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow S = \mathbb{R}$.

b) The only subspace of $\mathbb{R}^2$ are $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $L = \{\lambda(a,b) = \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let S be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^2$. we have 2 cases.

• 0 is the only one element of $S \Rightarrow S = \{0\}$.

• 0 is not the only one element of $S \Rightarrow \exists u = (a,b) \in S$. st $(a,b) \neq (0,0)$. Since S is a subspace $\Rightarrow \lambda(a,b) \in S \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

So if we denote $L = \{\lambda(a,b) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \Rightarrow L \subseteq S$. We have 2 cases:

If $L = S$, we have done.

If $L \subsetneq S$. Let's prove $S = \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $L \subsetneq S \Rightarrow \exists v = (c,d) \in S$. but $v \notin L$. So $(c,d) \neq \lambda(a,b)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore any $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be written as $(x,y) = \lambda(a,b) + \alpha(c,d)$ $\lambda(a,b) \in L \subseteq S, \alpha(c,d) \in S \Rightarrow (x,y) \in S \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \Rightarrow S = \mathbb{R}^2$.

③ Let U, W be 2 subspaces of V (Since $U \cap W$ is a subspace of V). Prove that $U \cup W$ is a subspace $\Leftrightarrow U \subseteq W$ or $W \subseteq U$.

$\Leftarrow$ If $U \subseteq W \Rightarrow U \cup W = W$ and this is a subspace.

If $W \subseteq U \Rightarrow U \cup W = U$ and is a subspace.

$\Rightarrow$. Suppose $U \cup W$ is a subspace. Suppose by contradiction that $U \not\subseteq W$ and $W \not\subseteq U \Rightarrow W \setminus U \neq \emptyset, U \setminus W \neq \emptyset$.

$\Rightarrow \exists w \in W \setminus U$ and $\exists u \in U \setminus W$. In particular, u and $w \in W \cup U \Rightarrow u+w \in U \cup W$.

If $u+w \in U$, since $u \in U \Rightarrow u+w+(u) = u+(w+u) = w \in U$. Contradiction.

If $u+w \in W$ since $w \in W \Rightarrow u+(u+w) = u \in W$ Contradiction.

Therefore $U \subseteq W$ or $W \subseteq U$.

3.27.

Definition: Suppose $U_1, \dots, U_m$ subspace of V . we denote $U_1 + \dots + U_m = \{u_1 + \dots + u_m : u_i \in U_i\}$ (which is also a subspace of V .)

① Describe the sum $U+W$ in the following cases:

a) $U = \{(x, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. $W = \{(y, y, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

An element $v \in U+W$ is of the form:

$$v = u + w \quad u = (x, 0, 0) \in U \quad w = (y, y, 0) \in W.$$

$$\Rightarrow v = (x+y, y, 0).$$

Now we can write $v = (\bar{x}, y, 0)$ for $\bar{x}, y \in \mathbb{R}$. $\Rightarrow u+w = \{(\bar{x}, y, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \bar{x}, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

b) $U = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x-y+z=0 \wedge 2y+z=0\}$. $W = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x-3y+1z=0 \wedge 2x-3y+z=0 \wedge -y+3z=0\}$.

$$\cdot (x, y, z) \in U \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x-y+z=0 \\ 2y+z=0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x=3y \\ z=-2y \end{cases} \Rightarrow \text{So } U = \{(3y, y, -2y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y \in \mathbb{R}\}. \text{ In the same way}$$

$$\text{we have } (x, y, z) \in W \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x=4z \\ y=3z \end{cases} \Rightarrow W = \{(4z, 3z, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Therefore every $v \in U+W$ is of the form: $v = (3y, y, -2y) + (4z, 3z, z) = y(3, 1, -2) + z(4, 3, 1)$.

these vectors are not multiples of each other.

$$\Rightarrow U+W = \{y(3, 1, -2) + z(4, 3, 1) : y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Note: If U_1 is a subspace of $U_2 \Rightarrow U_1 + U_2 = U_2$.

$$\Leftarrow \text{let } x \in U_1 + U_2 \Rightarrow x = u_1 + u_2 \text{ for } u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2 \Rightarrow u_1 \in U_2 \Rightarrow x \in U_1 + U_2 \in U_2. \text{ So } U_1 + U_2 \subseteq U_2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{let } u_2 \in U_2 \Rightarrow u_2 = 0 + u_2 \in U_1 + U_2. \text{ So } U_2 \subseteq U_1 + U_2$$

$$\Rightarrow U_2 = U_1 + U_2.$$

Definition:

let $U_1, \dots, U_m$ subspace of V , we say that the sum $U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum if $x \in U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m$ can be only one way as $x = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_m$ for $u_i \in U_i$. We denote as $U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus U_3 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$.

Theorem: If U, W are subspaces of V then $V = U \oplus W \Leftrightarrow V = U + W$ and $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

② If $V = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is a function}\}$. and $U = \{f \in V, f \text{ is even}\}$. $W = \{f \in V, f \text{ is odd}\}$.

Prove that $V = U \oplus W$.

$$\cdot V = U + W. \text{ let } f \in V. \text{ we want } f(x) = g(x) + h(x) \text{ for } g \in U, h \in W.$$

$$f(x) = f(x) + f(-x) - f(-x) = \frac{f(x)}{2} + \frac{f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x)}{2} - \frac{f(-x)}{2} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x))}_{g(x)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x))}_{h(x)}$$

So we can take:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) \text{ is even. } h(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) \text{ is odd. } g \in U, h \in W. \Rightarrow f = g + h \Rightarrow V = U + W.$$

$$\cdot U \cap W = \{0\}. \text{ let } g \in U \cap W. \Rightarrow g \in U \text{ and } g \in W.$$

$$\Rightarrow g(x) = g(-x). \wedge g(x) = -g(-x) \Rightarrow g(-x) = -g(-x) \Rightarrow g(x) = -g(x). \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow g(x) = 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow g \text{ is a } 0 \text{ function.}$$

$$\text{Therefore } V = U \oplus W.$$

④ Suppose $U = \{(x, x, z, z) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Find a subspace W of $\mathbb{R}^4$ st $\mathbb{R}^4 = U \oplus W$.

We want to write any vector $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ in the form $(x, y, z, w) = u + w$ for $u \in U, w \in W$.

Find every $u \in U \Rightarrow u = (x, x, y, y)$ and so the 2nd and the 4th coordinates are determined by 1st and 3rd coordinates.

So we can find any $(\bar{x}, y, \bar{z}, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ with U . so for W we should add the 2nd and 4th coordinate. So we define $W = \{(0, y, 0, w) : y, w \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

let's see that $\mathbb{R}^4 = U \oplus W$

$$\cdot \mathbb{R}^4 = U + W \text{ for any } (x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4. \text{ we can write : } (\bar{x}, y, \bar{z}, w) = (x, x, z, z) + (0, y-x, z, w-z) \in U + W.$$

$$\cdot U \cap W = \{0\}. \text{ let } (x, y, z, w) \in U \cap W \Rightarrow (x, y, z, w) \in U \wedge (x, y, z, w) \in W \Rightarrow x=y, z=w. \wedge y=0, z=0 \Rightarrow (x, y, z, w) = 0. \quad \square$$

Definition = let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in V$ vectors, the SPAN of $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ is the smallest subspace contain $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$. We denote it as $\langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

Definition: let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in V$. $w \in V$ is a linear combination of $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ if $w = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$ for $\lambda_i \in F$.

Observation: $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ is the set of the linear combination.

That is $w \in \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow w = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$ for $\lambda_i \in F$.

④ Is the vector $w = (0, -1, 3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in the subspace spanned $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ by $v_1 = (1, -3, 5)$ $v_2 = (2, -3, 1)$?

We know that $w \in \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \Leftrightarrow w = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2$ for $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$. $\Leftrightarrow (0, -1, 3) = \lambda_1 (1, -3, 5) + \lambda_2 (2, -3, 1) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 \\ -1 = -3\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 \\ 3 = 5\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -2\lambda_2 \\ -1 = 3\lambda_2 \\ 3 = -9\lambda_2 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{2}{3} \\ \lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{3} \end{cases}$

Therefore $w = \frac{2}{3}v_1 - \frac{1}{3}v_2$ and so $w \in \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$.

⑤ Consider $v = (1, 2, 3)$, $u = (2, 3, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Is it possible to find conditions on $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ st $(a, b, c) = w \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is in the subspace spanned by v and u ?

We have that $w \in \langle v, u \rangle \Leftrightarrow w = \lambda_1 v + \lambda_2 u \Leftrightarrow (a, b, c) = \lambda_1 (1, 2, 3) + \lambda_2 (2, 3, 1) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 \\ b = 2\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 \\ c = 3\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 = a - 2\lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 = 2a - b \\ c = -7a + 5b \end{cases}$

So $w = (a, b, c) \in \langle v, u \rangle \Leftrightarrow c = -7a + 5b$. In this case, $w = (-3a + 5b)v + (2a - b)u$.

Remainder: $\mathbb{C}^2$ is a vector space over $F = \mathbb{C}$ but $\mathbb{C}^2$ also a vector space over $F = \mathbb{R}$.

⑥ let $v = (1, 1+i)$, $u = (i, -i)$, $w = (0, 1-2i) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

a) Consider $\mathbb{C}^2$ as a real vector space ($F = \mathbb{R}$) is $v \in \langle u, w \rangle$?

$v \in \langle u, w \rangle \Rightarrow v = \lambda u + \alpha w$ for $\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 1 = \lambda i + \alpha \cdot 0 \\ 1+i = \lambda(-i) + \alpha(1-2i) \end{cases}$ and there is not possible since $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
So $v \notin \langle u, w \rangle$ for $\mathbb{C}^2$ as a real vector space. (if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$).

b) Considering $\mathbb{C}^2$ as a complex vector space is $v \in \langle u, w \rangle$?

$v \in \langle u, w \rangle \Leftrightarrow v = \lambda u + \alpha w$ for $\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 1 = \lambda i \\ 1+i = \lambda(-i) + \alpha(1-2i) \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda = -i \\ \alpha = i \end{cases}$

⑦ let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq V$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq V$. Prove that if $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\} \Rightarrow \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle \subseteq \langle w_1, \dots, w_k \rangle$.

let $v \in \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle \Rightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ st $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$.

Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\} \Rightarrow \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_k\} = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ and so we can also considers:

$v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n + 0 \cdot v_{n+1} + 0 \cdot v_{n+2} + \dots + 0 \cdot v_k \Rightarrow$ linear combination of $\langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \rangle = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\} \Rightarrow v \in \langle w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k \rangle$.

□

8. let $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \subseteq V$, $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq V$. Prove that $\langle U \cup W \rangle = \langle U \rangle + \langle W \rangle$.

①. let $v \in \langle U \cup W \rangle \Rightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m \in F$ st $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_m v_m$ for $v_i \in U \cup W$. Each $v_i \in U \cup W \Rightarrow v_i \in U$ or $v_i \in W$.

So we can reorder the set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ and assume without loss of generality, $v_1, \dots, v_t \in U$ and $v_{t+1}, \dots, v_m \in W$.

So $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_t v_t + \lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1} + \dots + \lambda_m v_m$.
 $\quad \quad \quad \in \langle U \rangle \quad \quad \quad \in \langle W \rangle$

2.28.1

Definition:

A set of vector $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subseteq V$ is called linearly independent (LI) if $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_m v_m = 0$ for $\lambda_i \in F$ this implies $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$.

A set of vectors $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq V$ is called linearly dependent (LD) if it is not LD. That is $\exists \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ not all zero st $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = 0$.

① Check that the following vectors are LI or not. If not, find a non-trivial linear combination equal to 0.

a) $v_1 = (2, 2, 2)$, $v_2 = (0, 0, 3)$, $v_3 = (0, 1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Suppose $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \lambda_3 v_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 (2, 2, 2) + \lambda_2 (0, 0, 3) + \lambda_3 (0, 1, 1) = (2\lambda_1, 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_3, 2\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) = (0, 0, 0)$.

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0 \\ \lambda_2 = 0 \\ \lambda_3 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is LI.

b) $p_1(x) = x \cdot x^2$, $p_2(x) = 3x$, $p_3(x) = x^2 + x - 2$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]$.

Suppose $\lambda_1 p_1(x) + \lambda_2 p_2(x) + \lambda_3 p_3(x) = 0$.

$$\lambda_1 (x \cdot x^2) + \lambda_2 \cdot 3x + \lambda_3 (x^2 + x - 2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)x^2 + (3\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)x + 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3 = 0.$$

$\Leftrightarrow \lambda_3 = \lambda_1$, $3\lambda_2 = -\lambda_3$, $2\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_3 \Leftrightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_3$, $\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{3}\lambda_3$. So we don't get the only λ .
Whenever we have $\lambda_1 = -3\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ for $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We get $\lambda_1 p_1(x) + \lambda_2 p_2(x) + \lambda_3 p_3(x) = 0$.
So a non-trivial linear combination would be taken as $\lambda_2 = 1$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = 3$.

c) $\cos$, $\sin$, id in $V = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ function}\}$.

Suppose $\lambda_1 \cos + \lambda_2 \sin + \lambda_3 \text{id} = 0$ function.

$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 \cos x + \lambda_2 \sin x + \lambda_3 x = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. We can evaluate in specific value of x .

$\cdot x = 0 \quad \lambda_1 \cos(0) + \lambda_2 \sin(0) + \lambda_3 \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 0.$

$\cdot x = \pi \quad \lambda_1 \cos(\pi) + \lambda_2 \sin(\pi) + \lambda_3 \pi = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_3 \pi = 0$ since $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 \cdot \sin \pi = 0$.

$\cdot x = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \lambda_1 \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) + \lambda_2 \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}) + \lambda_3 \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = 0.$

Therefore $\{\cos, \sin, \text{id}\}$ are LI.

② Prove the following:

a) Every subset of a LI set is also LI.

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a LI, and $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. a subset suppose $\tilde{\lambda}_1 w_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2 w_2 + \dots + \tilde{\lambda}_k w_k = 0$.
We can consider $\{w_1, \dots, w_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

So now $0 = \lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot w_{k+1} + 0 \cdot w_{k+2} + \dots + 0 \cdot w_n$. Since $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is LI and we have a linear combination of them equal to 0. We have that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$.

Since we started with $\lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 + \dots + \lambda_k w_k = 0 \Rightarrow \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ are LI by definition.

b) Every set that contains a LD subset is also LD.

Suppose that we have $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ a set and that the subset $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ is LD. ($\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$).

Since $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is LD. $\Rightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in F$ not all zero st $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2 v_2 + \dots + \tilde{\lambda}_k v_k + 0 v_{k+1} + \dots + 0 v_n = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is LD.

c) Every set containing 0 is LD.

Suppose we have $\{0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ we can contain $1 \in F$ (or any element in F) st: $0 = 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot v_1 + 0 \cdot v_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot v_n$
 $\Rightarrow$ the scalars are $1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0$ are not all zero $\Rightarrow$ LD.

③ Suppose that $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ are LI, and for $w \in V$, $\{v_1 + w, v_2 + w, \dots, v_n + w\}$ is LD. Prove that $w \in \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle$.

Since $\{v_1 + w, v_2 + w, \dots, v_n + w\}$ is LD. $\Rightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ not all zero st $\lambda_1(v_1 + w) + \lambda_2(v_2 + w) + \dots + \lambda_n(v_n + w) = 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n + \lambda_1 w + \lambda_2 w + \dots + \lambda_n w = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n)w$$

Suppose we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = 0$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ all not zero. $\Rightarrow \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ are LD. Contradiction.

So $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \neq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n)w \Rightarrow \frac{-\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} v_1 + \frac{-\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} v_2 + \dots + \frac{-\lambda_n}{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} v_n = w \Rightarrow w \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$.

4.8.

① Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $U \subseteq V$ is a subspace. We know that $\dim(U) \leq \dim(V)$.

Prove that if $\dim(U) = \dim(V) \Rightarrow U = V$.

Suppose $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is a basis of U ($\dim(U) = n$). Since $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is LI in U so it is LI in V .

and it has n elements $\Rightarrow \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ is a basis in $V \Rightarrow$ every $v \in V$ we can write as $v = \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_n u_n$
 $\in U$ So $V \subseteq U \subseteq V \Rightarrow U = V$.

② Let $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m$ finite dimensional subspaces of V . Prove the following:

a) $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m$ finite-dimensional and $\dim(U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m) \leq \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) + \dots + \dim(U_m) < \infty$.

Let $B_k = \{v_1^k, v_2^k, \dots, v_{n_k}^k\}$ is a basis of U_k and consider $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$, let's show that B spans $U_1 + \dots + U_m$.

Let $u_1 + \dots + u_m \in U_1 + \dots + U_m$, since every $u_k \in U_k$ and B_k is a basis of U_k we can write

$U_1 + \dots + U_m = (\lambda_1^1 v_1^1 + \dots + \lambda_n^1 v_n^1) + \dots + (\lambda_1^m v_1^m + \dots + \lambda_m^m v_m^m)$. So B spans $U_1 + \dots + U_m$. Since $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_m$.
 $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_m$ $B_1 \subseteq B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_m, \dots B_m \subseteq B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_m$.

By lecture, spanning set contains a basis $\Rightarrow \exists \tilde{B}$ basis of $U_1 + \dots + U_m$. st $\tilde{B} \subseteq B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$.
 $\dim(U_1 + \dots + U_m) \leq \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) + \dots + \dim(U_m)$

b) if $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum $\Rightarrow \dim(U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m) = \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) + \dots + \dim(U_m)$.

let's show that $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_m$ is also LI. Suppose that $(\lambda_1^1 v_1^1 + \lambda_2^1 v_2^1 + \dots + \lambda_n^1 v_n^1) + \dots + (\lambda_1^m v_1^m + \dots + \lambda_m^m v_m^m) = 0$. ①

Since $U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$ is a direct sum, every $v \in U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m$ be written in a unique way. In particular, the only way to write $0 \in U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m$ is $0 = 0 + 0 + \dots + 0$. So by ①, $\lambda_1^1 v_1^1 + \dots + \lambda_n^1 v_n^1 = 0, \dots, \lambda_1^m v_1^m + \dots + \lambda_m^m v_m^m = 0$.

Since B_k is LI. So $\lambda_1^k = \lambda_2^k = \dots = \lambda_m^k = 0$. Therefore B is LI and by (a) B spans $U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m \Rightarrow B$ is a basis of $U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$ and B has $\dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) + \dots + \dim(U_m)$ elements.

$\Rightarrow \dim(U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m) = \dim(U_1) + \dots + \dim(U_m)$

Note. with (a) (b) we get that $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum $\Leftrightarrow \dim(U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m) = \dim(U_1) + \dots + \dim(U_m)$.

② Suppose U and W are subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^9$. st $\dim U = \dim W = 1$. Prove that $U \cap W \neq \{0\}$.

We know that $\dim(U+W) = \dim U + \dim W - \dim(U \cap W) = 10 - \dim(U \cap W)$. Since U and W are subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^9 \Rightarrow \dim(U+W) \leq 9. \Rightarrow 10 - \dim(U \cap W) \leq 9. \Rightarrow \dim(U \cap W) \geq 1. \Rightarrow U \cap W \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow$ it has at least one element in it.

③ let U and W subspaces of C^6 . We have $\dim U = \dim W = 4$. Prove that there exists 2 vectors $v_1, v_2 \in U \cap W$. st v_1 is not a scalar multiple of v_2 . ($v_1 \neq \lambda v_2$)

We have that $\dim(U+W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W) = 8 - \dim(U \cap W) \Rightarrow \dim(U \cap W) = 8 - \dim(U+W)$.

Since $U+W$ is a subspace of $C^6 \Rightarrow \dim(U+W) \leq 6. \Rightarrow -\dim(U+W) \geq -6. \Rightarrow 8 - \dim(U \cap W) \geq 2.$

$\Rightarrow \dim(U \cap W) \geq 2$. Therefore $U+W$ has a basis B at least 2 elements $v_1, v_2 \in B$. Since B is LI, and every subset of a LI set is also LI. $\Rightarrow \{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq B$ is a LI set $\Rightarrow v_1$ is not a scalar multiple of v_2 .

Note: if A, B, C are arbitrary sets and we look at cardinality:

$|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$.

$|A \cup B \cup C| = |A| + |B| + |C| - |A \cap B| - |A \cap C| - |B \cap C| + |A \cap B \cap C|$.

Now, as we consider U_1, U_2, U_3 subspaces of V (finite-dimensional) we know that $U_1 \cup U_2$ and $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$ is not always a subspace. But $U_1 + U_2$ and $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$ always be subspace of V .

Q IS it true $\dim(U_1 + U_2 + U_3) = \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) + \dim(U_3) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_3) - \dim(U_2 \cap U_3) + \dim(U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3)$
 Consider $U_1 = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} = \{(a, 0) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ $U_2 = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} = \{(0, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $U_3 = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ subspace of $\mathbb{R}^2$.
 Therefore $U_1 + U_2 + U_3 = \mathbb{R}^2$. $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{(0, 0)\} = U_1 \cap U_3 = U_2 \cap U_3 = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3$. Also $\dim U_1 = \dim U_2 = \dim U_3 = 1$.
 $\dim(U_1 + U_2 + U_3) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^2) = 2$. $\dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) + \dim(U_3) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_3) - \dim(U_2 \cap U_3) + \dim(U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3)$
 $= 1 + 1 + 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 + 0 = 3$. NOT EQUAL!

Definition: The elementary matrices are matrices obtained by identity and apply one of the following elementary operations.

- P_{ij} : interchanging row i and row j . $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- $M_i(\lambda)$ multiple row i / column i by λ : $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- $T_{ij}(\lambda)$ replace row R_i by $R_i + \lambda R_j$. $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $R_2 = R_2 + \lambda R_1$.

Q Consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(\mathbb{R})$. Find elementary matrices E_i st:

a) $E_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$ $E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

b) $E_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 11 & 15 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$ $R_2 = R_2 + 3R_1 \Rightarrow E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

c) $E_2 E_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 8 & 10 & 12 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$ ① $R_1 = R_1 + R_2$: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = E_1$. ② $R_2 = 2 \cdot R_2$: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = E_2$.

This is not the same as doing first E_2 and E_1 !

Definition: Two matrices A, B are row-equivalent if $\exists E_1 \dots E_k$ elementary matrices st $A = E_k \dots E_1 B$.

Q Prove the row-equivalence is equivalent relation.

Consider $A \sim B \Leftrightarrow A$ is row-equivalent to B . $\Leftrightarrow B = E_1 \dots E_k A$, for $E_1 \dots E_k$ elementary matrices.

Reflexive = $A \sim A$ since $A = Id \cdot A$.

Symmetric: $A \sim B \Rightarrow B \sim A$. Since $A \sim B$: $B = E_1 \dots E_k A$. Remember that elementary matrices are invertible and their inverses are also elementary matrices. $\cdot P_{ij}^{-1} = P_{ij}$. $\cdot M_i(\lambda)^{-1} = M_i(\frac{1}{\lambda})$.

$T_{ij}(\lambda)^{-1} = T_{ij}(-\lambda)$. So $A = E_1^{-1} \dots E_k^{-1} B \Rightarrow B \sim A$.

Transitive: $A \sim B \wedge B \sim C \Rightarrow B = E_1 \dots E_k A \wedge C = E_1' \dots E_k' B \Rightarrow C = E_1' \dots E_k' E_1 \dots E_k A \Rightarrow A \sim C$.

Note. if $A \sim Id \Rightarrow Id = (E_k \dots E_1) A \Rightarrow A$ is invertible.

Therefore the equivalence class of Id is $[Id] = \{A : A \sim Id\} = \{A : A \text{ is invertible}\}$. So to prove A invertible, you find elementary matrices $E_1 \dots E_k$ st $Id = E_1 \dots E_k A$.

Q Determine the following matrices have inverse.

$$a) A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 / -3} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 - 2R_2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{So } Id = E_3 \cdot E_2 \cdot E_1 \cdot A \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/3 & 2/3 \\ 2/3 & -1/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b) A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 5 & -1 \\ 4 & -1 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 5 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow[R_3 - 3R_1]{R_2 - 2R_1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 5 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -11 & 4 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -11 & 4 & -3 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_3 - R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 5 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -11 & 4 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \text{No way to get identity by applying elementary matrices}$$

Therefore, not inverse.

$$c) A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 \\ -1 & 0 & -10 \end{pmatrix} \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -10 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 = R_2 + R_1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -10 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow[R_3 = -\frac{1}{6}R_3]{R_3 = R_3 + R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{3} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & -5/6 & -1/6 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -4/6 & 5/6 & 2/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \end{array} \right)$$

① Let $A \in M_n(F)$ and suppose A is row-equivalent to B , which has a row of 0's. Prove that A is not invertible.

Since B has a row of 0's, $\Rightarrow B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{m1} & \dots & b_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow B \cdot C$ also has a row of 0's for any $C \in M_n(F)$.
 Since $B \cdot C = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{m1} & \dots & b_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & \dots & c_{nm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \text{row } i.$
 $(BC)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^m b_{ik} \cdot c_{kj} = 0$. Therefore B is not invertible since

$B \cdot C \neq Id, \forall C \in M_n(F)$. Now A is row-equivalent to $B \Rightarrow A \sim B \Rightarrow B = E_k \dots E_1 A \Rightarrow A = E_1^{-1} \dots E_k^{-1} B$.
 Therefore A is not invertible.

Note if B has 0 column $\Rightarrow A$ also not invertible.

② Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 1}(F)$ and $B = (b_1 \ b_2) \in M_{1 \times 2}(F)$. Prove that $C = A \cdot B \in M_2(F)$ is not invertible.
 We write $C = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} (b_1 \ b_2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \\ a_2 b_1 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ Consider following cases:

i) a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 is 0. C is not invertible.

ii) $a_1 \neq 0, a_2 \neq 0, b_1 \neq 0, b_2 \neq 0$. $C = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \\ a_2 b_1 & a_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} R_1} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow C$ is row equivalent to a matrix with 0's.

$\Rightarrow C$ is not invertible.

③ Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(F)$. Prove every elementary row operations that A is invertible $\Leftrightarrow ad - bc \neq 0$. In this case,

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$ Suppose that A is invertible and by contradiction $ad - bc = 0$. Since $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible $\Rightarrow a \neq 0$ or $c \neq 0$. (If $a = c = 0$, A has a 0 column $\Rightarrow A$ is not invertible). We can suppose that $a \neq 0$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - \frac{c}{a} R_1} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d - \frac{bc}{a} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \cdot a} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & ad - bc \end{pmatrix} \text{ Since } ad - bc = 0 \Rightarrow C = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{irreversible} \Rightarrow \text{Contradiction.}$$

So $ad - bc \neq 0$.

$\Leftarrow$ Since $ad - bc \neq 0 \Rightarrow a \neq 0$ or $c \neq 0$. Suppose without loss of generality that $a \neq 0$ let's find the inverse of A .
 $\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & 1 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 - \frac{c}{a} R_1} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d - \frac{bc}{a} & -\frac{c}{a} & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 \cdot a} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & ad - bc & -c & a \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 - \frac{b}{ad - bc} R_2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & 0 & 1 + \frac{bc}{ad - bc} & -\frac{ac}{ad - bc} \\ 0 & ad - bc & -c & a \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 \cdot \frac{ad - bc}{ad - bc}} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \frac{a(ad - bc)}{ad - bc} & 0 & \frac{ad - bc + bc}{ad - bc} & \frac{-ac}{ad - bc} \\ 0 & ad - bc & -c & a \end{array} \right)$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

② Determine for which value of the following matrices are invertible.

$$a) A = \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{iff } a \cdot 1 - a \cdot a \neq 0 \Rightarrow a(1-a) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow a \neq 0 \text{ and } a \neq 1.$$

$$b) A = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 1 \\ 2a & a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a^2 \cdot a - 2a \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow a(a^2 - 2) \neq 0 \Rightarrow a \neq 0 \text{ and } a \neq \sqrt{2}.$$

4.24.

Given a system of linear equations.

$$\begin{cases} A_{11}x_1 + \dots + A_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_{m1}x_1 + \dots + A_{mn}x_n = b_m \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$ We can consider the equivalent matrix form $Ax = b$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \dots & A_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}).$$

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^m.$$

Now apply 3 elementary row operations to A and b which don't change the space of solution

1) Interchange rows.

2) Scalar multiplication.

3) Row sum.

We get $\tilde{A} \cdot x = \tilde{b}$

GOAL: Get the $\tilde{A}$ in the row-reduced echelon form.

① For the following systems of linear equations write into matrix form and solve.

$$a) \begin{cases} x + 2y = 0 \\ 2x + 3y = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \textcircled{1}$$

Now apply row operations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \cdot (-1)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 - 2R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So ① is equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the only solution.

$$b) \begin{cases} x - 3y + 5z = 0 \\ 2x - 3y + z = 0 \\ y + 3z = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{We have the matrix form: } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & -9 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2/3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 + 3R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So we have $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow x = 4z, y = 3z. \text{ So my solutions are } (4z, 3z, z)$

$= z(4, 3, 1)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, or $\langle (4, 3, 1) \rangle$.

$$c) \begin{cases} 3x - y + 2z = 1 \\ 2x + y + z = i \\ x - 3y = 0 \end{cases}$$

Now $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & | & i \\ 1 & -3 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & | & i \\ 3 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} R_2 - 2R_1 \\ R_3 - 3R_1 \end{matrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 1 & | & i \\ 0 & 8 & 2 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 - \frac{8}{7}R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 1 & | & i \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{6}{7} & | & 1 - \frac{8}{7}i \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \cdot \frac{7}{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 1 & | & i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & \frac{7-8i}{6} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_3} \xrightarrow{R_2 \cdot \frac{1}{7}} \xrightarrow{R_1 + 3R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & \frac{-1+2i}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & \frac{-1+2i}{6} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & \frac{7-8i}{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

So the solution is $(\frac{-1+2i}{2}, \frac{-1+2i}{6}, \frac{7-8i}{6})$.

Remainder: Homogenous systems ($Ax=0$) always have at least one solution ($x=0$).

Non-Homogenous may not.

② For each of the following systems describe the set of vectors (b_1, b_2) or (b_1, b_2, b_3) have a solution.

a) $\begin{cases} x + y = b_1 \\ 2x + 2y = b_2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & b_1 \\ 2 & 2 & | & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & | & b_2 - 2b_1 \end{pmatrix}$

So it has a solution $\Leftrightarrow$ the 2-row "makes sense" $\Leftrightarrow 0 = b_2 - 2b_1$. So the set of vector for which have solution $\{(b_1, 2b_1), b_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

b) $\begin{cases} x - y + 2z + w = b_1 \\ 2x - 2y + z - w = b_2 \\ 3x + y + 3z = b_3 \end{cases}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 & | & b_1 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 & -1 & | & b_2 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 0 & | & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 & | & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & -3 & | & b_2 - 2b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & b_3 - b_2 - b_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{So solution} = b_3 - b_2 - b_1 = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle (b_1, b_2, b_2 + b_1) \rangle$$

④ Find the value of $k \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the matrix system $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ 1 & k & 1 \\ k & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is:

- i) Inconsistent (has no solution). ii) Consistent dependent (has more than one solution).
iii) Consistent independent (has a unique solution).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k & | & 1 \\ 1 & k & 1 & | & 1 \\ k & 1 & 1 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} R_2 - R_1 \\ R_3 - kR_1 \end{matrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k & | & 1 \\ 0 & k-1 & 1-k & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1-k & 1-k^2 & | & 1-k \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k & | & 1 \\ 0 & k-1 & 1-k & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -k^2 - k + 2 & | & 1-k \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, we consider cases:

• $k=1 \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$ solution is $(x, y, z) = (1-y-z, y, z) = (1, 0, 0) + y(-1, 1, 0) + z(-1, 0, 1)$.

In this case, it is consistent dependent.

• $k=-2 \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow 3=0$ Contradiction! No solution $\Rightarrow$ inconsistent.

• $k \neq 1, k \neq -2: \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k & | & 1 \\ 0 & k-1 & 1-k & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(k-1)(k+2) & | & 1-k \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k & | & 1 \\ 0 & k-1 & 1-k & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & \frac{1-k}{-(k-1)(k+2)} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & \frac{1}{(k+2)} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & \frac{1}{(k+2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & \frac{1}{(k+2)} \end{pmatrix}$ So it is consistent dependent.

Find a system of linear equations so the set of all solutions is given by $S = \{(1-t, 2+t, 3+t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Every solution is of the form $(x, y, z) = (1-t, 2+t, 3+t) = (1, 2, 3) + t(-1, 1, 1)$.

Remainder: let $Ax=b$ a system of linear equations (in the matrix form) and $S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}^n : Ax=b\} \rightarrow$ set of solutions of $Ax=b$.

$S_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{F}^n : Ax=0\} \rightarrow$ set of solutions of corresponding homogenous system.

Theorem: $S = \{x_0 + x : x \in S_0\}$ where x_0 is a particular solution to $Ax=b$ " $S = x_0 + S_0$ ".

4.25.

Definition: let V, W two vector spaces, a function $T: V \rightarrow W$ is called a linear map if it satisfies:

$$1) T(v_1 + v_2) = T(v_1) + T(v_2), \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V.$$

$$2) T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v) \quad \forall \lambda \in F, v \in V.$$

We denote $\text{Hom}_F(V, W) = \{T: V \rightarrow W, T \text{ is linear map}\}$, is a vector space.

① Describe the following functions are linear map.

a) $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, T(x, y) = (x+1, y)$.

Not a LM. for $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2, z \in \mathbb{R}$, then $T(z \cdot (1, 0)) = T(z, 0) = (z+1, 0)$.

$z \cdot T(1, 0) = z \cdot (2, 0) = (2z, 0)$ not equal.

b) $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_2 x_3, \dots, x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)$.

$T(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \Rightarrow T(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) + T(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

$T(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

but $T((1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) + (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)) = T(1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. not equal.

c) $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, T(x, y) = (y, x, x-2y)$.

$T((x, y) + (x', y')) = T(x+x', y+y') = (y+y', x+x', x+x'-2(y+y'))$.

$= (y, x, x-2y) + (y', x', x'-2y') = T(x, y) + T(x', y')$. ✓

$T(\lambda(x, y)) = T(\lambda x, \lambda y) = (\lambda y, \lambda x, \lambda x - 2(\lambda y)) = \lambda(y, x, x-2y) = \lambda T(x, y)$.

Observation Any function $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined as $T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i x_i, \dots \right)$, is a LM.

② Let V be a vector space with $\dim(V) = 1$. (for example, $V = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, \dots$).

If $T: V \rightarrow V$ is a LM $\Rightarrow T(v) = \lambda v, \forall v \in V$, for some $\lambda \in F$.

Since $\dim = 1, \exists B = \{w\}$ a basis with only one element, by definition of a basis, every $v \in V$ can be written as $v = \alpha w$, for some $\alpha \in F, \Rightarrow T(v) = T(\alpha w) = \alpha T(w)$.

Note that $T(w) \in V (T: V \rightarrow V) \Rightarrow T(w) = \lambda \cdot w$ for some $\lambda \in F$.

So $T(v) = \alpha T(w) = \alpha \cdot \lambda \cdot w = \lambda \cdot (\alpha \cdot w) = \lambda \cdot v$.

$\Rightarrow T(v) = \lambda v, \forall v \in V$.

example. $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} = T(x) = \cos x$ is not LM.

$T: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} = T(x) = ix$ is LM.

③ Does there exists a LM that satisfies the given properties?

a) $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ st $T(1, 2) = (3, 0)$ and $T(3, 6) = (0, 1)$.

Not exist. $T(3, 6) = 3T(1, 2) = 3(3, 0) = (9, 0) \neq (0, 1)$. !

b) $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ st $T(0, 1) = (1, 2, 0, 0)$, and $T(1, 0) = (1, 1, 0, 0)$.

Note that $\{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^2$, any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be written as $(x, y) = y(0, 1) + x(1, 0)$.

So if we want $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ LM, satisfying the properties we have note that:

$T(x, y) = T(y(0, 1) + x(1, 0)) = yT(0, 1) + xT(1, 0) = (y+2x, 2y+x, 0, 0)$. Satisfy the properties.

c) $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ st $T(1, -1, 1) = 2, T(1, 1, 1) = 1$.

Note that $\{(1, 1, 1), (1, -1, 1)\}$ is LI in $\mathbb{R}^3$ but not a basis ($\dim \mathbb{R}^3 = 3$). So we can add a vector:

$\{(1, 1, 1), (1, -1, 1), (1, 0, 0)\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$. So any $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ can be written as

$(x, y, z) = \frac{z-y}{2}(1, -1, 1) + \frac{y+z}{2}(1, 1, 1) + (x-z)(1, 0, 0)$.

If we want T LM satisfying the properties.

$\Rightarrow T(x, y, z) = \frac{z-y}{2} \cdot 2 + \frac{y+z}{2} \cdot 1 + (x-z) \cdot T(1, 0, 0)$

And now define $T(1, 0, 0)$ for any vectors then it is LM